App. F IX-4

Previous References

192 .
(me)

File No. 4 S-21

Serial Nos. 7

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos.

Part B for nov 26

SUBJECT

Re Compensation awarded to m/s
m/s Balaraja Rao for the property
acquired in Fort. Mysore

Enclosed one Confidential Cover
papers ordered to be recorded

JB, 1/5/1

Serial No.

The Balasay Zemindar has seen me to-day
& makes the following Statement

His house in Fort was acquired & paid
Rs 8,000 as Compensation, though he
claimed Rs 40,000/-
He was promised to be given a Site
in Lakshmipuram Extension as was done
in the case of several other gentlemen
When the Site in question was granted
to me, a Sum of Rs 1,000 odd was fixed
as the price The Sirkaryavaru Ayya
gave me to understand that he would
try to get me a reduction of the
price & I was to pay 50% of the
Sale price nominally fixed
Accordingly I paid Rs 500 & odd
i.e 50% of the nominal price in
1922
I then requested the Sirkaryavaru Ayya
to move in the matter & get the
reduction done
Before he could take any steps in
the matter, he retired from
Remembered the above facts & the
Reduction Rao
He made certain proposals & I am
in writing by letter to refund the
whole amount & take back the site
& remit the balance due [illegible]
It appears that the Board
agreeable to give me only half the Site
for the amount paid & take back
the other half or refund the amount

Note- Contn. Sheet

WD 01[illegible]—C I B [illegible] 00—1 J 26
\[illegible] I III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No

of 192

Serial No

AN S OFFICE.
12 OCT 1926

But he was not agreeable to accept the offer of the Board & hence he did not respond to their offer & presumed to execute any transfer deeds

The prices are considerably fallen now The site is so situated as not to be fit for purpose of division into portions In view of the fact that he accepted a very low compensation for his house acquired & of the fact that some gentlemen who were exactly situated as he were given free sites & Extra he earnestly believes that the Board would enter into & refund the sum paid by him

He has not only lost Rs 2400 by way of interest till now but [illegible] the house tax on vacant site for the last four years He has wanted to build on the site but his financial circumstances would not permit of such a course

8-0
11/10/26

4

[illegible]

Dated. 24/11/2026

Dear Mr. Ramachandran,

Regarding the file send by you with your D.O. No. 955 dated 14/11/26 I have observed a short note on Y. Balaji's [illegible]

The amount of compensation awarded for Mr Balaraja Urs' property in Fort was Rs 34,500 and not Rs 30,000 as stated by him

Our office file does not contain any record to show that Mr Srikanteswara Aiyar proposed to grant the sites at a reduced rate In fact there has been no application for a reduction of rate. Even in his latest letter to me dated 12th November 1924, Mr Balaraja Urs only did not complain of the rate being high, but only wanted that the balance due might be adjusted from the compensation that might be awarded for another property belonging to him, which he was pressing us to acquire

Sites in Lakshmipuram Extension have in no case been granted free In one case, the site being low, was granted at a lower rate In 2 or 3 other cases, sites were granted for lump-sum prices, under very special circumstances

In pursuance of Trust Board Resolution dated 22nd November 1924, 4958 square yards out of the whole site were confirmed to Mr Balaraja Urs and the cost of the same adjusted in accounts from the amount of compensation awarded for his property If Mr Balaraja Urs wanted any concession ~~in point of rate~~ he might have addressed the Board in the matter, and it is even now open to him to do so, if desired He has preferred all along to remain silent and invoke ~~[illegible]~~ all agst D

conversations which in retrospect are interpreted by him as conveying various promises not supported by any record

The present position is that the Board has clinched the matter by reducing the extent of site granted to him so as to correspond with the amount paid by him There are quits except for the mutchalika which Mr Balaraj Urs has not so far executed He may be left to ~~more~~ make any representation he likes to the Board

The original Secretariat file is herewith returned

26/10/26.

To the Private Secy to the Dewan with compliments with no letter

[illegible] VI—G/32]

SUBJECT ...

...mary No. of letter replied to ...

Complement

...eneral and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192 .

Section

File No

Serial No.

No. Uq

Date of issue. ...

Signed by ...

To

Dear Sir

With reference to your letter No. ... dt. the ... July 1926 stating that you would like to be allowed to explain in person the reasons that prevented you from replying the Chairman, City Improvement Trust Bd, Mysore, in regard to the Municipalities to be selected by you in connection with the Rule framed by us in the New Legislative Assembly, I am desired to state that there is no objection to your coming over here & explaining it to me in person when you

over.

WD 6332—G.P.B.—1926.—15-9-26

Kennel just to say in the meantime
that you cannot come here
on Sunday, but the following

Yours sincerely

M. N. Ashley Cowan

17/7

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

AIP F VI (1)

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Date of issue 21/8/26

Signed by [illegible]

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Confidential

Section

File No

Serial No

No a 1310

To

D Secretary

May I invite your attention to my letter No a 245 of the 28th July 1926 intimating you that you may personally explain to me the reasons that prevented you from replying the Chairman's letter dt 7th Nov in connection with the civil grantee began in the Western Lakshmipuram Taluk, Nov and to request you to kindly arrange to see me early, as the matter is pending disposal for a long time

Yours sincerely

21/8

M V Anderson Esq I.C.S.
Collector Dist

over

WD 01265—GPB—31 000— 24 12 25

9w a' 2106 d- 16/9/26

Dear Sir,

May I invite your attention to my D O letter No L 249 dated the 13th July 1926 and the subsequent reminder dated the 21st August 1926, intimating you that you may personally explain to me the reason that prevented you from replying the Chairman, City Improvement Trust Board, Mysore in connection with the site granted to you in the ew Lakshmipuram extension, Mysore and to request you to kindly arrange to see me early as the matter is pending disposal for a long time

Yours sincerely,

M N Balaraj Urs, Esq., B A.,
Special Magistrate, Kolar Gold Fields

App F VI G1

17 B650

SUBJECT

Section

File No

Serial No

No C 2808

To M N Balaraj Esq Mysore

***Diary No.** of letter replied to*

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Dear Sir

In reference to the statement made by you to me on 11/10/26 regarding the relief granted to you in the Mysore Land Improvements Scheme, by the Mysore Forest Board, I am directed to say that you may make any representation you like to the Board

Yours obediently

for Secretary

Date of issue 2nd Nov 1926

Signed by for B Nagappa

Secretary

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

over